wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Cristian Alberto Galindo-Sorto	Case Number:	11-6014M
present and w			was held on January 25, 2011. Defendant was ridence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pro		DINGS OF FACT	
· _ ·	ponderance of the evidence that:		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged		• •
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts	in the United States or	in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant b substantial family ties to Mexico.	ut has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
\boxtimes	There is a record of prior failure to comply	with court ordered sup	ervision.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enf	orcement contact by fl	eeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	ears imprisonment.
The Cat the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as noted in	ndings of the Pretrial Se the record. LUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant was No condition or combination of conditions was a serious risk that the defendant was not conditioned by the conditions was not conditioned by the condition of conditions was not conditioned by the condit	will flee.	the appearance of the defendant as required.
a corrections fappeal. The confidence of the United States	efendant is committed to the custody of the A facility separate, to the extent practicable, from defendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppositates or on request of an attorney for the Government of the United States Marshal for the purpose of the states when the states were stated to the states were stated to the states when the states were stated to the stated to the states were stated to the states were stated to the stated to t	attorney General or his/ nersons awaiting or se prtunity for private cons vernment, the person ir	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour or charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
	ORDERED that should an appeal of this deter	ntion order be filed with	on the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS I Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a thi ciently in advance of the hearing before the lepotential third party custodian.	ird party is to be consid District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ED this 26 th day of January, 2011.		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge